1	A No, there wasn't. There wasn't any provision
2	prohibiting that.
3	Q Do you recall whether there was any discussion
4	whatsoever among the persons who became the initial board
5	members, that is, Paul Crouch, Jane Duff, and Philip David
6	Espinoza, as to whether any provision should be drafted into
7	the bylaws reflecting that Translator TV, Inc., was to be
8	minority-owned or minority-controlled?
9	A Not to my, not to my knowledge, no. Like I say, I
10	wasn't present at the organizational meeting.
11	Q You weren't present but you were the person who had
12	provided the draft bylaws, correct?
13	A And, and those were basically organizational form
14	that would it would have required some variation on their
15	part to put that in.
16	Q Did you have any discussions with any of the initial
17	board members, that is, Paul Crouch, Jane Duff, or
18	Philip David Espinoza, as to the possible number of directors
19	that the corporation could have?
20	A No. Again, the bylaws referred to three to, to ten,
21	and that was just basically a form I used.
22	Q Did you have any discussion with Philip David
23	Espinoza as to what the duties were of a director of a
24	corporation such as Translator TV, Inc.?
25	A Not that I recall.

Q Did you ever have any discussion with Philip David Espinoza about what the duties of the position chief financial

- 3 officer were of Translator TV, Inc.?
- 4 A Not that I recall.
- Now, I don't, I don't mean to be repetitive here, but
- 6 I think this is something that we've gone over a little bit
- 7 and I'm only asking it now because it's a lead in for other
- 8 questions. And that is, would it be fair to state that when
- 9 Translator TV, Inc., was organized its primary goal was to
- 10 obtain translators -- And I said primary goal, not only goal,
- 11 but primary goal was to obtain translators which were to be
- 12 | used to broadcast essentially Channel 40, KTVN-TV programming?
- 13 A To broadcast television programming, including some
- 14 local programming, yes.
- 15 Q Including some local programming?
- 16 A That was --
- 17 Q Now, along those lines, I'd like you to refer to Mass
- 18 Media Exhibit 27, which is in the, the volume that you have.
- 19 The letter that I'm referring you to bears a date of
- 20 February 12, 1981, and it's from Joseph E. Dunne, III, to
- 21 yourself.
- 22 A Yes.
- Q Do you see that?
- 24 A Yes, I do.
- 25 Q Now, I'd like you to read to yourself the third and

1 fourth paragraphs. 2 (Pause) 3 Yes, I read those. Α 4 Now, does this, does this help your recollection in Q 5 terms of, of what the initial goals of Translator TV, Inc., 6 were in terms of programming? 7 Yeah, I think it's -- with what I testified to. Α 8 Now, did those initial goals change as a consequence 9 of the letter that we're referencing here, Mass Media Exhibit 27? 10 Α Well, the letter indicated to me that there was still 11 12 a possibility that we would be able to do low power -- do 13 local programming. And so that was still a goal if the FCC 14 was to approve it. The hope was still there. 15 Q So that there was, there was the recognition I see. 16 that in the beginning perhaps only TBN programming could be 17 broadcast but that there was a possibility that at some point 18 in the future --19 Α Well --20 -- local programs could be developed? Q 21 Α In 1980, I was under the impression that it was, it 22 was firm, that we could do it. And then Mr., Mr. Joe Dunne I 23 believe wrote this letter indicating to me that there were no 24 applications on file and we were still waiting for rules to be 25 adopted. And I think we changed the exemption application

1	accordingly.		
2	Q Okay. Now, didn't he isn't what he's telling you		
3	here that Translator TV, Inc., does in fact have applications		
4	on file with the FCC and that those applications are for		
5	Translator stations and that Translator stations did not have		
6	local origination capacity and that therefore the only		
7	programming that the stations were initially going to have was		
8	what it could receive off of the satellite?		
9	A That, that would be the result of, of what was in the		
10	works.		
11	Q And so that the only programming that Translator TV,		
12	Inc., was going to broadcast in the beginning was that which		
13	it received from Trinity Broadcasting Network?		
14	A That's correct, unless the rules were changed.		
15	JUDGE CHACHKIN: When we talk about the rules		
16	changing, wasn't there authorization for low power at that		
17	time?		
18	MR. SHOOK: Your Honor, it's my understanding that		
19	there was and that these applications just happened to be		
20	Translator applications. That's all.		
21	JUDGE CHACHKIN: That's why I don't understand why		
22	you're questioning. It wasn't The rules weren't changed,		
23	it was the The letter specifically says in the third		
24	paragraph that the applications filed by Translator TV did not		
25	request low power stations. They were merely translators.		

- The rules were in existence at that time for low power, the
 way you would have local programs, but that was not proposed
 by Translator TV.
- MR. JUGGERT: Well, the sentence I'm referring to is
 the one that states "I would suggest that the sentence be
 rewritten to read as follows: The FCC is presently
 considering the adoption of new rules which would allow the
 broadcast of local programming on translators. If such rules
 are adopted, Translator TV, Inc., may wish to develop local
 programming in several of the locations for which it has
 filed."
 - JUDGE CHACHKIN: But the fact of the matter is there were possibility, if you want the local programming, to file for low power station. And the rules, as they existed at that time, which apparently were the applications filed by Translator TV, did not permit any local programming, only the carriage of an existing station. Go ahead, Counsel.

BY MR. SHOOK:

- 19 Q Mr. Juggert, I'd like you to refer back to Mass Media 20 Exhibit 10.
- 21 A Sure.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q We're going to be in the same volume for a while, so you don't have to go searching around for notebooks.
- 24 A Yes, I have it.
- Q My question to you is did you attend this initial

1	meeting	13
2	A	No, I didn't.
3	Q	Now, I'd like you to turn to Mass Media Exhibit 16.
4	A	Yes, I have it.
5	Q	Now, from the beginning, were you the keeper of the
6	corpora	te minutes, the minute book for Translator TV, Inc.?
7	A	I don't believe from the very beginning, and I can't
8	recall	when I assumed that responsibility.
9	Q	Would it have been on or about the time this letter
10	was wri	tten, November 10, 1980?
11	A	It, it probably would have. I would have ordered a
12	minute	book to get a seal about that time.

- 13 Q I mean, the reason I ask the question is that if you 14 look at the second paragraph, where it says, "Please obtain 15 the necessary signatures and return the documents to me," that 16 suggests to me that you're the keeper of corporate documents.
- 17 A That's, that's, that's a good assumption.
- 18 Q Now, if you would, please, turn to page 9 of that 19 exhibit.
- 20 A Yes. The bylaws?
- Q No. Excuse me, I meant page 9 of Exhibit 16, which wasn't very clear.
- 23 A Oh, oh, pardon me. (Pause.) Yes, I have it.
- Q Now, how did it come about that this power of attorney was given to you by Paul Crouch?

1 Well, that was necessary. I, I use -- I later used 2 a, a standard IRS form, but the power of attorney is necessary for the attorney who's working on an exemption application to 3 be able to receive responses from the IRS that are sent to the 5 client. And I always do that because the IRS usually puts 6 time -- very strict time strictures on their demand for a response, and quite often a client will get a letter and, and 8 shelve it. But if it comes to me, I know that the red lights 9 are flashing. 10 All right, I'd like you to turn to paragraph 9 of 11 your testimony, which is Trinity Broadcasting of Florida 12 Exhibit 108. It's pages 5 and 6. 13 Α Yes. 14 MR. COHEN: Your Honor, before we -- I'm not clear as 15 to which portions of that paragraph were admitted and were excluded. Can you just indulge me and tell me. 16 17 JUDGE CHACHKIN: I don't have present knowledge of 18 what --19 MR. COHEN: Oh. Oh, I see. You --20 JUDGE CHACHKIN: -- was excluded. 21 I can tell Your Honor. The only thing MR. SHOOK: 22 that --23 JUDGE CHACHKIN: The only thing I see is there was 24 one sentence in the -- page 6. 25 MR. TOPEL: The, the first sentence of paragraph 9

1 and the last sentence of paragraph 9 are in the record. The

- 2 remainder of the paragraph is not in the record.
- 3 MR. COHEN: That's what I thought, but my notes
- 4 weren't clear. Thank you.
- 5 BY MR. SHOOK:
- 6 Q All right. So for purposes of my question,
- 7 Mr. Juggert, the only portions of paragraph 9 that you have to
- 8 concern yourself with are the very first sentence, which
- 9 begins "Finally" and ends with the word "affiliate." And then
- 10 | if you turn to page 6, the last sentence. And it's not the
- 11 entire last sentence, it's simply the last clause that begins
- 12 | with the word "I" and then concludes with "TBN."
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Have you had a chance to look at this?
- 15 A Yes, I have.
- 16 Q Now, was it always your understanding that Translator
- 17 TV, Inc./National Minority TV, using those together, had a
- 18 different purpose from Trinity Broadcasting Network?
- 19 A Yes, different. It had a broader purpose.
- 20 Q So that purpose was something in addition to the
- 21 | religious purpose that both corporations had?
- 22 A That's right.
- 23 Q And it was different from the programming purpose
- 24 that both corporations had in the sense of spreading the
- 25 | gospel through Christian programming?

That would have been the purpose of National Minority 1 Α 2 as well. Right. 3 0 4 But I would say that, that I anticipated that their A type of programming would differ somewhat from Trinity's. 5 6 Q You anticipated that? 7 Α Yes. Was your anticipation -- Did you share your 8 9 anticipation in any way with, with anyone else? 10 No, I didn't. A 11 Now, your understanding of Translator TV, Inc.'s, Q 12 purpose of purposes in 1980, would it be correct to say that 13 that was focused primarily on the operation of, of translators 14 and perhaps low-power television stations? 15 Α That, that would have been the primary focus, yes. 16 And that there was no purpose in 1980 for Translator 17 TV, Inc., to operate a full-power television station? 18 I don't remember that ever being discussed. 19 In 1984, when certifications were filed with the 20 Federal Communications Commission -- And let me refer you to 21 those so that you'll know what I'm talking about. They would 22 appear in Mass Media Exhibit 72, which is in the second 23 If you would just take a quick look at those. 24 Okay, there's a letter from Colby May and then

25

certifications.

Q Correct. And there are three, three letters and three certifications. There's a cover letter, then a set of certifications that appears on the second page. A cover letter and a set of certifications that appears on the fourth page, and then a cover letter and a set of certifications that appears on the sixth page. The certifications are essentially identical.

A Okay.

Q Now, my question is in 1984 when these certifications were filed, wouldn't it be correct the Translator TV, Inc., had the same purposes in 1984 as it did in 1980?

A To, to my knowledge, that, that's true.

Q Now, did the corporation's purposes change in 1986 or 1987?

A I remember that somewhere between 1985 and 1987 receiving a memo from Jane Duff on personal stationery of hers stating that we have just learned -- It had several -- a lot of information that -- along with other matters. It stated we have just learned that there is a minority preference for low-power stations, as I recall, and referring to some minority preference. And that indicated to me that the -- finally, this corporation would be able to be operational in a real sense. Now, I don't know if those minority preferences meant full-power stations or low-power. I, I can't recall.

Q Well, the reference that you, that you gave initially

was that the note from Jane had something to do with the preference in the low-power area.

- A I think that's the case.
- Q And you recall receiving that sometime between 1985 and 1987?
- 6 A Yes.

3

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Now, with the filing of the certifications that you have in front of you that took place in 1984 and the subsequent acknowledgement or recognition on the part of Jane Duff that some preference is being given by the FCC to an entity -- Translator TV, Inc., did you ever have any discussions with Jane Duff as to why Translator TV, Inc., never sought to acquire by purchase construction permits or licenses for translators in low-power television stations?
- A No, I didn't.
- Q Did you have any discussion with anyone as to why
 Translator TV, Inc., did not acquire by purchase any
 construction permits or licenses for low-power television
 stations?
- 20 A No.
- Q If I were to ask you -- phrase it in terms of translator stations as opposed to low-power stations, did you have any discussions?
- A I just -- I have a vague recollection that, that, that up until 1987 the rules were uncertain and that was a

That's the only thing that, that goes contributing factor. through my mind. And my recollection may be faulty, it may 2 have, may have been at a different time. But as I -- 1987 3 4 seems to be a, an important date when, when Translator really 5 did begin to become active. 6 JUDGE CHACHKIN: I, I think you missed the, the 7 The question is did you have any nature of the question. discussions concerning acquiring the stations by purchasing them. 10 MR. JUGGERT: Translator --11 JUDGE CHACHKIN: Translators or low powers, rather 12 than applying for them. Isn't that the -- That's the 13 question. 14 MR. JUGGERT: Well, no, I had no discussion of that 15 nature. 16 BY MR. SHOOK: 17 Now, I, I put -- My question was put to you in terms 18 of did you have any discussions. Did you have any 19 understanding or knowledge as to why Translator TV, Inc., did 20 not seek to acquire by purchase any translators or low-power 21 televisions stations between 1980 and 1987? 22 Α Other than during -- Part of that period I, I thought 23 the rules prohibited it. 24 0 But you had no, you had no understanding or knowledge 25

> FREE STATE REPORTING, INC. Depositions Court Reporting D.C. Area (301) 261-1902 Balt. & Annap. (410) 974-0947

as to why Translator TV, Inc., did not seek to acquire by

purchase any translator or low-power television station 2 permits or licenses? 3 Α No. Now, there did come a time during that period, 4 5 though, didn't there, when Trinity Broadcasting Network was purchasing constructions permits and/or licenses for 6 7 translators and low-power television stations? And by that period, I mean 1984 to 1987. 8 I believe they were, yes. 10 And you recall no discussion or no -- Let me put it 11 this -- You recall no discussion as to why Trinity is making 12 such purchases, whereas Translator TV, Inc., is not? 13 No, I don't recall any discussions along that line. 14 And you, you had no understanding as to why Trinity 15 was making such purchases and Translator TV was not? 16 No, I have no understanding as to why Translator A 17 wasn't doing the same thing. 18 Now, I think we've already established through prior 19 testimony that -- the how, the when, and the why insofar as 20 you understand it that Jane Duff became a director of Trinity 21 of Arizona, Trinity of Oklahoma City, and Trinity of Florida. 22 Α Yes. 23 How did it come to pass that Jane Duff became a board 24 member of Trinity of Indiana, Trinity of New York, and Trinity 25 of Seattle?

1 She would have been recommended by Paul Crouch for 2 those positions. And I think it all flowed from his 3 conversation with the commissioner, former commissioner. 4 That it was good policy or advisable to have a person 0 5 such as Jane on, on the board of those companies? 6 It was a good policy to, to mentor and to encourage Α 7 minorities and women. 8 Now, with that in mind, if it was, if it was good to 9 mentor her, good to have her on the board, how did it come 10 about that between 1984 and 1985 Jane Duff left, for whatever 11 reason, the boards of Trinity Broadcasting Network, Trinity of 12 Arizona, Trinity of Oklahoma City, Trinity of Florida, Trinity 13 of Indiana, Trinity of New York, and Trinity of Seattle? 14 Α The, the only explanation I have is what she provided 15 in her letter of resignation, that it was due to time 16 commitments. 17 No effort was made to dissuade her? 18 Well --Α 19 To keep her on? Q 20 Α Not on my part. I don't -- Somebody else may have. 21 And apparently, no effort was made JUDGE CHACHKIN: 22 to obtain another minority member for any of these boards. 23 that also true? 24 MR. JUGGERT: I think that's true. 25 BY MR. SHOOK:

1 And it's your recollection that she left the boards 0 2 of the companies that I named on or about the same time? 3 I, I, I'm not certain on that. I can't say for 4 sure. 5 Well, I mean, I'll, I'll tell you that from the, from 6 the standpoint of our evidentiary record, it's unclear in 7 terms of two companies, that being Trinity Broadcasting 8 Network and Trinity Broadcasting of Florida. We actually have 9 resignation letters and then we have resolutions or actions 10 filed with respective boards of directors which accept her 11 resignation. And so my question to you is put in that -- with 12 that background in mind, that, you know, is it your 13 recollection or is it your understanding that the resignation 14 was more or less simultaneous in terms of all of those 15 companies? 16 Α I would be speculating. 17 0 You have no recollection whatsoever? 18 I just remember seeing a, a notice of Α 19 resignation from her for Trinity Broadcasting Network, a 20 letter, a little letter --21 Q And you never spoke to her about it? 22 Α No. 23 Did there come a time when Jane Duff was made a 24 member of the board of Trinity Towers? 25 Α She may have.

1	Q Well, I can get the, the board minutes that would
2	show that she was added. The purpose of my question was
3	and you were involved in voting her on, at least the, the
4	minutes so reflect because you were a board member before and
5	that she was added to the board. Do you have any recollection
6	of voting her on the board?
7	A No, I don't.
8	Q Do you have any recollection of how it came about
9	that she was presented for board membership?
10	A No, no recollection.
11	Q Is it fair to state, though, that in all
12	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Did you finish your response?
13	MR. JUGGERT: Let me go on and just say that's a
14	Florida corporation and it may have been that because of her,
15	her connection with Trinity Broadcasting of Florida that she
16	was put on that corporation, because that's a Florida entity,
17	Trinity Towers.
18	BY MR. SHOOK:
19	Q Now, wouldn't it be fair to state, though, that
20	basically you voted her on the board of Trinity Towers because
21	Paul wanted her on the board?
22	A I wouldn't vote for somebody that wasn't competent.
23	She was nominated by Paul and was very competent.
24	Q Right. Now, let me, let me make sure that we
25	understand each other on one thing here, and that is that my

questions are not meant to suggest in any way that we have any question about Mrs. Duff's competence or lack thereof relative to being added onto the board. We're just, we're just trying to find out who was involved. And so from that standpoint, it would be fair to state, wouldn't it, that she was presented for membership by Paul, Paul Crouch, and that you voted her on

7 because of that?

9

10

11

12

13

14

- 8 A And because she was competent.
 - Q I'd like to turn to the 1985 annual meeting of Trinity and affiliated -- And that appears in Mass Media Exhibit 91, which is in the second volume. Now, as you can see from the first page, this concerns an annual meeting of the combined boards of, of various companies and that this meeting took place on January 14, 1985.
- 15 A Yes.
- Q You'll see a reference to that at the bottom of the first paragraph. Now, turning to pages 4, 5, and 6, there are a listing of various companies, and then names of persons following who are going to be the officers and directors of those companies.
- 21 A Yes.
- Q Now, focusing on the two companies that appear on page 6 --
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q -- you'll see that Jane Duff is a vice president and

1 |director of both Trinity Broadcasting of Ciskei and Trinity

- 2 Broadcasting of Nevis, Limited?
 - A Yes.

- Q Do you have any understanding as to why Jane Duff is
- 5 being made an officer and a director of those companies, but
- 6 is not being made an officer or director of Trinity
- 7 Broadcasting Network or of Arizona, Florida, Oklahoma City,
- 8 Indiana, Denver, New York, Washington, Community Television of
- 9 Texas, and Trinity of Europe? Was any explanation given to
- 10 you or do you have any understanding as to how it's allocated
- 11 | that she's an officer and director of the two companies that
- 12 | are -- that I just noted and not the ones that I've also
- 13 noted?
- 14 A Yes, I do.
- 15 Q And what is that?
- 16 A Well, both of these companies are foreign
- 17 | corporations. Ciskei is a South African corporation and Nevis
- 18 is a West Indies corporation. They're both corporations where
- 19 there is very, very little activity. And we wanted to
- 20 maintain a directorship control here in the U.S. You'll
- 21 notice on both those corporations we have a -- Leonard Sevy
- 22 (phonetic sp.) is a, a South African and Charles Blant
- 23 (phonetic sp.) is a West Indies person. So there really
- 24 wasn't anything to do in those corporations. The activity is
- 25 very, very minimal. The whole purpose there is just to

- maintain the, the, the directors as people here in the United 2 States. 3 So my question would be then why Jane Q Well, okay. Duff and why not Janice Crouch then? If there's so little to 5 do? 6 Α I would say that, that Jane was a person who was 7 probably more accessible at that time than, than Jan was. Jan 8 was traveling a great deal. And I think that with respect to 9 South Africa, part of that was wanting to make a statement, that we would have a black board member on our South African 10 corporation. This is back in the times of Apartheid. 11 12 Now, in terms of accessibility, if Jane Duff is more 0 13
 - accessible than Janice Crouch, why would it make sense to have Janice Crouch on the board of the network, Arizona, Florida, Oklahoma City, Indiana, Denver, New York, Washington, Community Television of Texas? Why would it make sense to have her on those boards which apparently are more active?

15

16

17

18

19

22

- A Because on those corporations, quite frequently she gives a proxy to, to her husband Paul Crouch.
- Q Isn't it not only quite frequently, but basically all the time?
 - A No. I would say the majority of the time would be correct, but not all the time.
- Q All right. Now, how much of a majority would that be? Are we talking about 80 to 90 percent of the time?

- 1 | A I would, I would say 75 to 80.
- Q Now, I'd like you to turn your attention to Mass
- 3 Media Exhibit 57, which is in the first volume.
- 4 A I have it.
- Now, you see that these minutes are for May 27, 1983, and that one of the two things that are happening is that Jan
- 7 Crouch is being added to the board of directors.
- 8 A Yes.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 9 Q You see that? And the way the minutes are written -10 And they're written by you, right?
- 11 A Yes, they are.
- 12 Q It was moved by yourself and seconded by Mrs. Duff
 13 that Jan Crouch be added to the board of directors. Can you
 14 tell us what it is that triggered your moving on this
 15 particular day to add Jan Crouch to the board of directors?
 - A As I indicate here, the -- my understanding of it was to protect the Crouch Family's continued participation in Trinity Broadcasting Network.
 - Q What is happening, if anything, in May 1983 that would suddenly -- I mean, we're talking about a special meeting that's being called at 2:30 in the afternoon for the purpose -- at least one of the purposes is to add Jan Crouch to the board. What is happening during this period of time that warrants calling a special meeting to add Jan Crouch to the board?

Counsel, let's cut to the quick. I was informed this 1 week, first time in my life, that there was some rule passed by the FCC on this particular day or the day before, I'm not, not sure when, and that, that somehow it's being construed that this meeting had something to do with that. Absolutely It was not a consideration. I knew nothing of any, any kind of a rule change. Nobody at the board mentioned anything about it. The first time I ever heard of it was this, this It was just -- As I said, counsel, counsel informed me that it had happened on this day or the day before, but it didn't -- it was not in, in -- never discussed, never mentioned to me by Paul Crouch, never mentioned to me by Jane or, or anyone else. I think it was just a coincidence. 0 I appreciate that. What I'm, what I'm thinking of or what I'm, what I'm trying to find out here is that the minutes reflect that you moved to add Jan to the board.

Α Yes.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And what I'm trying to ascertain from you is whether this was something you thought of all by yourself or whether this was something that was put to you and then you just happened to be the person who moved to add Jan to the board.

Α It, it would have been recommended. Paul, Paul, Paul raised the issue of adding Jan. And it would have been well before this meeting that he would have raised that issue.

0 Well, and that's why it's certainly curious to me,

1 | because if such a recommendation had been made well beforehand

- 2 and there was some concern about protecting the Crouch Family,
- 3 what is, what is going on that warrants calling a special
- 4 meeting for that purpose?
- 5 A The, the meeting was not noticed. The meeting --
- 6 These meetings are always scheduled at the convenience of all
- 7 | concerned, and to get these -- this group of people together
- 8 is not an easy task. I, I operate an independent law
- 9 practice. I have a number of clients and I'm quite often out
- 10 of town. So this -- There was no urgency in this meeting, it
- 11 was just a matter of housecleaning that we wanted to take care
- 12 of.
- Q So you had to travel from your law firm to Trinity
- 14 offices in order for this meeting to occur?
- 15 A I had to --
- 16 Q Is that what's happening here?
- 17 A I had to have my schedule arranged such, it occurred
- 18 at 2:30 in the afternoon, that I would have, have an afternoon
- 19 free when I can go over to the Trinity offices, which are,
- 20 say, 20 to 30 miles from my office, and attend the, the board
- 21 | meeting, which I do for free.
- 22 Q Where? It's 20 miles from your office to --
- 23 A Yes, in Costa Mesa over to Tustin.
- 24 Q So is your recollection that this was a planned
- 25 meeting of some kind?

A My recollection was that we were -- we, we scheduled a meeting at which time any business at all that we had could be brought up. But the specific purpose -- the specific thing we wanted to accomplish was to put Jan on the, on the board.

Q All right. Now, I'd like to explore with you the rationale for doing that. What is going to be accomplished by adding Jan Crouch to the board? And in asking that question, one of the things that I'd like you to do -- Or in answering that question, one of the things I'd like you to do is refer to Mass Media Exhibit 58, which is a revocable proxy given by Jan to Paul.

A Yes.

Q It's not dated, so we don't know with certainty when this, when this proxy was given. But what is, what is going to be accomplished by adding Janice Crouch to the board of Trinity Broadcasting Network?

A Janice Crouch would, would have the, the right to attend board meetings, to, to vote, to, to be informed, to receive minutes. If -- At the present time, I'm subject to being thrown out if I was to become a, a, a factor that was working to the detriment of Trinity. With Jan on the board, I could be thrown out at this particular time. Jane Duff could have been asked to leave the board. It really was one that provided for the possibility of control, whether it was ever exercised or not, on the part of, of the Crouch Family. Or at

- least they could block an untoward moves that, that Jane and I
 would want to make.
 - Q So this was viewed as a protection, an additional protection for Paul Crouch along the lines of the change in the bylaws which protected him as president of Trinity?

- A Protection for the Crouch Family. I think one of the concerns was if Paul died in any airplane crash, Jane and I would be the board members. The Crouch Family wouldn't be on the board.
- Q Well, let's explore that a little bit. If Paul dies in a plane crash and the board -- Let's say he died in a plane crash the next day, May 28th, 1983, and it's you, Jane Duff, and Janice Crouch on the board. What is it that prevents you from voting off Janice Crouch at that point in time?
- A The, the consensus of the Christian Community, the donors, those who, who support Trinity, it would -- there would be a large group of people, pastors in the area, that would rise up in arms if we were to, to attempt to take over, two people like Jan and myself. I mean like Jane and myself. Let me go on. If you look at the bylaws, particularly the protective clause or just the bylaws in general, when it speaks in terms of the vice president, which Jan is, the vice president assumes all of the, the rights of the, of the president.

And I would include that in that, in my mind, is the

1 |right to be protected from being removed from the board unless|

- 2 you went through that for cause provision. She was eventually
- 3 added and she was eventually made, made vice president.
- 4 Q She was eventually made vice president, but she
- 5 wasn't made vice president at this meeting?
- 6 A I'm not sure if she was. I don't think she was at
- 7 this time.
- 8 Q Well, let's look at the minutes for the 1984 annual
- 9 meeting, which would have taken place some seven to eight
- 10 months later. And that would be in Mass Media Exhibit
- 11 Number 70, I believe.
- 12 A That's Number 3?
- MR. EMMONS: Volume III.
- 14 BY MR. SHOOK:
- 15 Q It's in the second volume. Now, this meeting, if you
- 16 look at the first page, took place on January 20, 1984. See
- 17 | that?
- 18 A Yes, I do.
- 19 Q And if you go to page 10, I believe that's your
- 20 | signature, signing as secretary of the -- at least the board
- 21 of Trinity Broadcasting Network?
- 22 A Yes, that's right.
- Q Now, first of all, turn to the second page, the first
- 24 | full paragraph that appears on the second page.
- 25 A Yes.